	Case 1:21-cv-00571-JLT Document 1	L8 Filed 11/15/21 Page 1 of 2
1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	MARIO BATTON,	Case No. 1:21-cv-00571-JLT (PC)
12	Plaintiff,	ORDER DENYING PLAINTIFF'S THIRD REQUEST FOR APPOINTMENT OF COUNSEL
13	v.	
14	A. ZARATE, et al.,	(Doc. 17)
15	Defendants.	
16		
17	Plaintiff has filed a third request for appointment of counsel. (Doc. 17.) Plaintiff argues that	
18	he has a Test of Adult Basic Education ('TABE') reading score of 2.1, in addition to mental and	
19	physical disabilities. In support of the motion, Plaintiff submits mental health care records.	
20	As previously discussed, Plaintiff does not have a constitutional right to appointed counsel	
21	in this action, Rand v. Rowland, 113 F.3d 1520, 1525 (9th Cir. 1997), and the Court cannot	
22	require an attorney to represent Plaintiff pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e)(1). Mallard v. U.S. Dist.	
23	Ct. for the S. Dist. of Iowa, 490 U.S. 296, 298 (1989). The Court may request the voluntary	
24	assistance of counsel under section 1915(e)(1). Rand, 113 F.3d at 1525. However, without a	
25	reasonable method of securing and compensating counsel, the Court will seek volunteer counsel	
26	only in the most serious and exceptional cases. In determining whether exceptional circumstances	
27	exist, the district court must evaluate both the likelihood of success of the merits and the ability of	
28		

Case 1:21-cv-00571-JLT Document 18 Filed 11/15/21 Page 2 of 2 the plaintiff to articulate his claims *pro se* due the complexity of the legal issues involved. *Rand*,

2 113 F.3d at 1525.

Though the records demonstrate that Plaintiff suffers from multiple physical and mental conditions, they do not indicate circumstances that warrant appointment of counsel. Even assuming that Plaintiff is not well-versed in the law and that he has made serious allegations, which, if proved, would entitle him to relief, his case is not exceptional. This Court is faced with similar cases almost daily. At this early stage in the proceedings, the Court cannot determine that Plaintiff is likely to succeed on the merits. Moreover, based on a review of the record, the Court finds that Plaintiff can articulate his claims adequately. *Id.* For the foregoing reasons, the Court **DENIES WITHOUT PREJUDICE** Plaintiff's third motion for the appointment of counsel. (Doc. 17.)

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: I

Dated: **November 15, 2021**

/s/ Jennifer L. Thurston

CHIEF UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

1,